Preparation of Entangled and Antiferromagnetic States by Dissipative Rydberg Pumping
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We propose and analyze an approach for preparation of high fidelity entanglement and antiferromagnetic states using Rydberg mediated interactions with dissipation. Using asymmetric Rydberg interactions the two-atom Bell singlet is a dark state of the Rydberg pumping process. Master equation simulations demonstrate Bell singlet preparation fidelity $F = 0.998$. Antiferromagnetic states are generated on a four-spin plaquette in agreement with results found from diagonalization of the transverse field Ising Hamiltonian.
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Neutral atoms are providing a new tool for studying many body physics and quantum magnetism [1]. Recent experiments have probed strongly correlated spin systems relying on short range contact interactions between cold atoms [2], or Coulomb interactions of trapped ions [3]. Much recent interest has focused on antiferromagnetically ordered spin states. When the spin interactions are mediated by short-range scattering it is challenging to reach the extremely low temperatures needed to observe antiferromagnetic ordering [4]. Recent progress with Rydberg excited atoms [5] has demonstrated strong and long-range dipolar interactions which are suitable for creating magnetic phases with long-range order [6].

In this Letter we propose and analyze an approach to entanglement generation and spin ordering which relies on dissipative dynamics with Rydberg state mediated interactions. It is well known that dissipative dynamics can be used for creating entanglement [7], and more generally for universal quantum computational tasks [8] as has been demonstrated in recent experiments [9,10]. These developments have led to a high level of activity on this topic resulting in approaches to dissipative entanglement generation in a range of physical settings [11].

A dissipative approach to antiferromagnetic ordering using Rydberg interactions was proposed in Ref. [12]. Here we consider an arrangement with spin-dependent Rydberg interactions, which allows us to prepare the two-atom spin singlet state as a dark state of the dissipative evolution. In contrast to coherent blockade experiments [13] which rely on minimizing dissipation in order to maximize the fidelity of the target quantum state, the present approach exploits spontaneous emission, yet can be used to prepare a maximally entangled singlet state with fidelity exceeding 0.998. Entangled singlet states are a resource for gate teleportation in a spatially extended qubit array, and will also enable creation of strongly correlated spin systems. Remarkably, the dissipative approach described here is capable of creating the same entanglement fidelity as the coherent Rydberg blockade gate [14] but with 1500 times smaller Rydberg interaction. This implies that entanglement can be extended to much longer interparticle separations which will enable efficient computation and many particle entanglement in extended qubit arrays.

Our approach to dissipative preparation of a spin singlet is illustrated in Fig. 1. Consider two atoms with ground states $|1\rangle$, $|2\rangle$ and Rydberg states $|3\rangle$, $|4\rangle$. State 1 is coupled to 3 with Rabi frequency $\Omega_1$ and 2 is coupled to 4 with $\Omega_2$. The driving fields are detuned by $\Delta$. The energy splitting of the ground states $\hbar \omega_{21}$ is assumed to be a few gigahertz corresponding to atomic hyperfine ground states. The splitting is sufficiently large for us to neglect off-resonant coupling of $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |4\rangle$ and $|2\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle$. States 3, 4 decay to 1, 2 with rate $\gamma$ and equal branching ratios. In addition there is a field coupling $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle$ with Rabi frequency $\Omega_x$. This could be implemented optically with two-photon Raman transitions or with a microwave field.
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**FIG. 1** (color online). Level diagram for pumping into an asymmetric ground state using unequal Rydberg interactions. The boxed inset shows how $\pi$ polarized light can be used to recycle all other Zeeman ground states in the example of Cs atoms. See text for details.
The Rydberg states interact with energy $V_{33} = V_{44}$ when both atoms are excited to the same state and energy $V_{34} = V_{43}$ when the atoms are excited to different states. In general, the Rydberg interaction energy depends on angular degrees of freedom so the coupling strength will be different when $|3\rangle$, $|4\rangle$ correspond to different Zeeman sublevels $|1\rangle$. The idea of using asymmetric Rydberg couplings for quantum state control was previously used in several papers [16–18]. In those papers a very strong interaction asymmetry was required for high fidelity control. The idea described here requires only a weak asymmetry to enable a multiatom optical pumping process. We now choose a detuning $\Delta = V_{33}/2h = \Delta_{33}/2$. Thus, when the ground atomic state is $|1\rangle$ or $|2\rangle$, both atoms will be excited and spontaneously decay from the Rydberg level, thereby populating all four ground states $|11\rangle$, $|12\rangle$, $|21\rangle$, and $|22\rangle$. On the other hand, excitation out of states $|12\rangle$ or $|21\rangle$ to $|34\rangle$ or $|43\rangle$ will be off-resonant by an amount $\delta_{\Gamma} = \Delta_{33} - \Delta_{34}$, and excitation of a single atom will be off-resonant by $\Delta$. Provided the off-resonant excitation rates are small compared to excitation of the symmetric ground states the system will be pumped into an asymmetric two-atom state.

Although spontaneous emission in real atoms populates other hyperfine ground states not in the basis $|1\rangle$, $|2\rangle$ this can be dealt with using recycling lasers as shown in the inset of Fig. 1. Explicitly for the example of Cs atoms we take $|1\rangle = |f, m\rangle = |3, 0\rangle$, $|2\rangle = |4, 0\rangle$ and add $r$ polarized lasers coupling $|6s_{1/2}, f = 3\rangle \rightarrow |6p_{1/2}, f = 3\rangle$, $|6s_{1/2}, f = 4\rangle \rightarrow |6p_{1/2}, f = 4\rangle$. These lasers do not disturb $|1\rangle$, $|2\rangle$ but recycle all other ground states.

We can gain some insight into appropriate parameters by considering the limit of coherent Schrödinger evolution. Assume two, two-level atoms with ground state $|1\rangle$, Rydberg state $|3\rangle$, and Rydberg interaction strength $V_{33} = \hbar \Delta_{33}$. There is resonant excitation of the doubly occupied Rydberg state when the laser detuning is $\Delta = \Delta_{33}/2$. The states $|13\rangle$ and $|31\rangle$ are off-resonant by $\Delta_{33}/2$ and are only weakly excited. Writing the state vector as

$$|\Psi\rangle = c_{11}(t)|11\rangle + c_{13}(t)e^{-i\omega_{\gamma}t}|13\rangle + c_{31}(t)e^{-i\omega_{\gamma}t}|31\rangle + c_{33}(t)e^{-i(2\omega_{\gamma} + \Delta_{33})t}|33\rangle$$

the Schrödinger equation takes the form

$$\frac{dc_{11}}{dt} = \frac{i}{2}(\sqrt{2}\Omega) e^{i\Delta_{33}t}$$

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{i}{2}(\sqrt{2}\Omega) e^{-i\Delta_{33}t}c_{11} + \frac{i}{2}(\sqrt{2}\Omega) e^{i(\Delta_{33})t}c_{33}$$

$$\frac{dc_{33}}{dt} = \frac{i}{2}(\sqrt{2}\Omega) e^{-i(\Delta_{33})t}c_{11}$$

Here, $s = (1/\sqrt{2})(c_{13} + c_{31})$, $\omega_{\gamma}$ is the transition frequency, and $\Delta = \omega - \omega_{\gamma}$ is the laser detuning from the noninteracting atomic transition resonance at $\omega_{\gamma}$. Adiabatically eliminating the singly excited amplitude $s$ at $\Delta = \Delta_{33}/2$ we get an effective two-level system for states $|11\rangle$ and $|33\rangle$ resonantly coupled with the Rabi frequency $\Omega_{R} = (\sqrt{2}\Omega)^{2}/\Delta_{33}$. Allowing for four levels as in Fig. 1 the symmetric states are resonantly coupled to Rydberg levels with $\Omega_{R}$ while the antisymmetric states are also coupled with $\Omega_{R}$, but at a detuning $\delta = \Delta_{33} - \Delta_{34}$.

In the absence of symmetry breaking we expect the atoms to end up in a state $|\psi\rangle \sim |12\rangle + e^{i\varphi}|21\rangle$ with $\varphi$ an undetermined phase. In order to create coherence between $|12\rangle$ and $|21\rangle$ we add the transverse drive $\Omega_{g}$. The singlet state $|-\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2})(|12\rangle - |21\rangle)$ is invariant with respect to the $X$ rotations, whereas the $m = 0$ triplet state $|+\rangle = (1/\sqrt{2})(|12\rangle + |21\rangle)$ couples to states with $m = \pm 1$, which are subject to repumping via the Rydberg states. We thus expect the combined action of $\Omega_{1}$, $\Omega_{2}$, $\Omega_{g}$ with the detunings and Rydberg couplings specified above will drive the atoms into the maximally entangled “antiferromagnetic” (AF) state $|\sim\rangle$.

Denoting the probability for the atoms to be in the antiferromagnetic state $|\sim\rangle$ by $P_{AF}$ the rates at which probability enters and leaves this state due to one- and two-atom excitation processes are

$$r_{1,\text{in}} = (1 - P_{AF})2\frac{\gamma}{4} \left( \frac{\Omega_{1}^{2}}{\gamma} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\Delta_{33}^{2}}{\gamma} + \frac{2\Omega_{g}^{2}}{\gamma}} \right)$$

$$r_{1,\text{out}} = P_{AF}2\frac{3\gamma}{4} \left( \frac{\Omega_{1}^{2}}{\gamma} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\Delta_{33}^{2}}{\gamma} + \frac{2\Omega_{g}^{2}}{\gamma}} \right)$$

$$r_{2,\text{in}} = (1 - P_{AF})\frac{\gamma}{4} \left( \frac{\Omega_{1}^{2}}{\gamma} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2\Omega_{g}^{2}}{\gamma}} \right)$$

$$r_{2,\text{out}} = P_{AF}3\frac{\gamma}{4} \left( \frac{\Omega_{1}^{2}}{\gamma} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{4\Omega_{g}^{2}}{\gamma} + \frac{2\Omega_{g}^{2}}{\gamma}} \right)$$

Numerical factors in these equations correspond to an idealized two-level atomic ground state. In a real atom adjustments should be made to account for branching ratios in the radiative decay from the Rydberg state. We then solve $r_{1,\text{in}} + r_{2,\text{in}} = r_{1,\text{out}} + r_{2,\text{out}}$ to find the equilibrium population

$$P_{AF} = \frac{(\gamma^{2} + 4\delta^{2} + 2\Omega_{R}^{2})(12)}{4\Omega_{R}^{2}(\gamma^{2} + \Delta_{33}^{2})^{2} + \gamma^{2} + 2\Omega_{R}^{2} + 8\Omega_{R}^{2}(\gamma^{2} + \gamma^{2} + 2\Omega_{R}^{2} + 9\delta^{2}) + 9\delta^{2} + 6\Omega_{R}^{4}}.$$
parameters. As the interaction strength increases, the fidelity in the interaction picture and making the rotating wave
expression for Eq. (3) (red dots). Parameters: $\Omega/2\pi = 0.01$ MHz, $\gamma = 1/(0.73$ ms), $\Delta_{33}/\Delta_{33} = 0.2$, $\Omega_R = 2\Omega^2/\Delta_{33}$, and $\Omega = 0.5\Omega_R$. The atomic parameters correspond to the Cs 125$^{131}\text{I}$ state with $|3, 4\rangle_m = \pm 1/2$ and the quantization axis perpendicular to the line containing the atoms. The inset shows the time dependence for $\Delta_{33}/2\pi = 1$ MHz (solid lines are populations and the dashed line is coherence).

This expression is plotted in Fig. 2 for representative parameters. As the interaction strength increases, the fidelity of the Bell singlet tends to unity. This is also borne out by the limiting expression found by taking $\delta \gg \gamma, \Omega_R, \Delta_{33} \gg \Omega$ so that both the one- and two-atom rates out of the singlet state are small, which leads to $P_{AF} \approx [1 + (\gamma^2/2\Omega^2)]/[1 + (2\gamma^2/\Omega^2)]$. We see that provided $\Omega \gg \gamma$ then $P_{AF} \rightarrow 1$.

To verify the approximate rate equation analysis we have numerically solved the two-atom master equation

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\mathcal{H}, \rho] + \mathcal{L},$$

with $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes I_2 + I_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 + \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes I_2 + I_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2$, and $I_1, I_2$ are $4 \times 4$ identity matrices. Working in the interaction picture and making the rotating wave approximation the one-atom operators expressed in the basis $\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle, |3\rangle, |4\rangle\}$ are

$$\mathcal{H}_j = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Omega_x^* / 2 & \Omega_y^* / 2 & 0 \\ \Omega_x / 2 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_y^* / 2 \\ \Omega_y / 2 & 0 & -\Delta & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega_z / 2 & 0 & -\Delta \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_j = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^{4} \gamma_{kl} (\sigma_{kl}^{(j)} \sigma_{kl}^{(j)} + \rho \sigma_{kl}^{(j)} \sigma_{kl}^{(j)} - 2 \sigma_{kl}^{(j)} \rho \sigma_{kl}^{(j)}).$$

The Ising model with transverse field can be written as

$$\mathcal{H} = J \sum_i \sigma_i^x \sigma_i^{(i+1)} + B \sum_i \sigma_i^z, \quad J > 0,$$

where $J$ is the spin-spin interaction strength and $B$ is the field strength. With $J > 0$ the antiferromagnetic state with neighboring spins antiparallel is trivially the ground state when we restrict to nearest neighbor couplings, and there is no frustration or transverse field. For large transverse field strengths the ground state has all spins aligned along $x$. Finding the ground state of $\mathcal{H}$ on a 2D lattice with couplings that extend beyond nearest neighbors and with a local transverse field is generally a hard computational problem [21] which may be amenable to simulation using the Rydberg couplings described above.

We demonstrate the ability of the Rydberg coupled system to find the ground state of the Ising model using numerical simulations. The transverse field $B$ corresponds to $\Omega_z$ in (4a). To find the quantity corresponding to $J$ we return to Eqs. (1). In the limit of $\Delta_{33} \gg \gamma \gg \Omega_R$ the pumping rate into the AF state is dominated by $r_{2\text{in}} = (1 - P_{AF})(\gamma^2/4\Omega)$.

In the expression for $L_j$, $\sigma_{kl}^{(j)} = |k\rangle^{(j)}\langle l|$ are one-atom operators acting on atom $j$, $\gamma_{31} = \gamma_{32} = \gamma_{41} = \gamma_{42} = \gamma/2$ and all other $\gamma_{kl} = 0$. The interaction term is
maps onto the quantity $B=J$ ratio of transverse field to spin-spin interaction strength

The remaining few percent of the population is fairly ing to the ground state of the Ising model (5) with close to 100% of the population into a superposition of the six states from numerical diagonalization of (5) (solid blue line) and from Monte-Carlo simulations of Eq. (3) (red dots). Numerical parameters were $\Omega/2\pi = 0.01 \text{MHz}$, $\gamma = 1./0.3 \text{ms}$, $\Delta_{33}/2\pi = 0.4 \text{MHz}$, $\Delta_{33}/\Delta_{33} = 0.85$, $\Delta = \Delta_{33}/2$, $\Omega_R = 2\Omega^2/\Delta_{33}$, and $\Omega^g = 0$. The coupling strengths between opposite corners were $\Delta_{33} = \Delta_{33}/8$ and $\Delta_{34} = \Delta_{34}/8$.

Solving the master equation for more than several atoms requires large computational resources. We have instead used a Monte Carlo wave function technique [22] to study the dynamics on three- and four-spin plaquettes. We will refer to internal states $|1\rangle$, $|2\rangle$ as spin-up and -down. In the case of three spins on an equilateral triangle the dynamics is frustrated and we find equal superpositions of the six distinct states with $M = \pm 1/2$ in the absence of a transverse field.

Results for four atoms on a square plaquette are given in Fig. 3. When there is no transverse field ($\Omega_R = 0$) we pump close to 100% of the population into a superposition of the $M = 0$ states $|a\rangle = |1212\rangle$ and $|b\rangle = |2121\rangle$, corresponding to the ground state of the Ising model (5) with $B = 0$. The remaining few percent of the population is fairly evenly distributed over the 12 higher energy states. The solution of (5) on a square plaquette with $1/R^6$ van der Waals scaling of the interaction strength shows that the ground states have energy $-15J/4$, while the next four states are at $-7J/4$. Our physical model with Rydberg interactions and dissipation shows relaxation to the ground state with purity of about 96%.

The population in the AF states $|a\rangle$, $|b\rangle$ in the presence of a transverse field is shown in the inset to the figure. The solid curve from numerical diagonalization of (5) is shown with points from Monte Carlo simulations of (3). At large negative $B/J$ the ground state is $x$ polarized so the population in $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ asymptotes to $1/8$. The good agreement between the curve and points demonstrates the ability of the atomic model to relax to the ground state of the transverse field Ising model.

In summary, we have shown how the combination of weak Rydberg interactions and global rotations pump pairs of atoms into highly entangled singlet states. A related approach to entanglement generation using electromagnetically induced transparency with dissipative dynamics has been presented in Ref. [23]. The entangled singlet states can then be used as a resource for high fidelity, long distance gates in a qubit array based on gate teleportation [19].

Going beyond pairs of atoms, we show that the Ising model with transverse field can be mapped onto dissipative Rydberg mediated dynamics. In the simplest nontrivial instance of long-range interactions on a square plaquette we obtain good agreement for the antiferromagnetic fraction of the ground state found from the Ising Hamiltonian and from the atomic dynamics. The viability of the atomic interactions for simulating the ground states and dynamical evolution of Ising models on larger lattices and with inhomogeneous transverse fields are open questions for future studies.
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